G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

1909.

(Miscl.)

File No. 390 09

Serial Nos. 1 and 2

Papers Filed.

Month April 1910

SUBJECT.

Lease of kunnukatte land situ
at Bannur and owned to Ramaiah
temple &c at Bannur. T.
Narsipur Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES

M. Subbarayappa
Recorder

Serial No.

at Bannur and Sri Venugopalaswamy Temple in Srinanathapur. Under orders conveyed in Rubkari Dy $\frac{17}{20}$ 1th June 1896 these lands were leased out on a permanent Sutta of 35 kandics of paddy, to Bettiah and Sarangapani Pillay, who were required to execute and register a Muchalika in dere. form and to deposit a sum of Rs 200/- equivalent to the estimated value of one year's Sutta, to be forfeited on breach of any of these conditions.

(Flag Y)

In his Rubkari Dy 13th March 1899, the Dy Commr reported that the two persons were put in possession of the lands before payt of the deposit amount, that ~~Sarango~~ Srinivasa Raghavachar who was also competing for the lands, had taken the matter to Courts and that Sarangapani Pillay - one of the lessees - having tendered his Razinama, Srinivasa Raghavachar prayed that he might be registered as lessee along with Bettiah, and solicited orders in the matter.

On further enquiry from this office, the Dy Commr again -

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mmz File No. 390 of 1909

Serial No.

(no 209 dt 12-6 1899)

reported that Bettiah and Sarangapani Pillay were put in possession of the lands under the authority of the Rubkari dt 29th January 1896 and a Saganali chit was issued on 25th June 1896, that the full amount of security viz Rs 200/- (160 Rs from Bettiah and the balance from Sarangapani Pillay) was since presented and held in deposit and that the execution of the Rubkarikka was delayed on account of the non-attendance of parties, on the pretext that a portion of the leased land had been encroached upon by adjoining holders The pattas actually received till then is noted below:—

Durmukhi (1896-7) — 29 Kha - 15 Kas (The balance was withheld on the ground that the full extent of land was not in possession of the lessees)

Hevilambi (1897-8) — 35 Kha

Vilambi (1898-9) — 35

out of the total produce, 25 Khandies were utilized for the Temple and Rs 372/- being the sale proceeds of the balance were credited to the instn.

(Flag X)

GPB—55,000—27-4 09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 390 of 1909

Serial No.

In this office Communication Dy- 28th January 1901, the Dy Commr was asked to report who was in possession of the lands after Bethiah (reported to have been murdered) and whether Suttu for Vekan (1899-1900) and Sarvan (1900-01) had been collected

The Dy Commr stated in reply that consequent on the murder of Bethiah and the flight of Sarangapany, the lands had passed into the hands of Thirumanmah who delevered 70 Khandies of paddy for the said 2 years and that out of it, 24 Khandies were given To Bammer and Somanather - pun Temples and Rs 266/ being the cost of the balance was credited to the funds of the estate

744 no. 607 of 12th June 24-10-02

On enquiry from this office as to whether a fresh Muttchaleka was obtained from Thirumanmiah and whether Suttu for Plava (1901-2) had also been collected, the Dy Commr replied on 12th June 1906 that the Suttu from Plava To Subbakutty had been received (1903-4) and after giving the usual produce for Padikara, the

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27 4 09

Serial No.

balance was credited to the funds of the instn, that the question of sutta for 1904-5 was under correspondence and that the lessee contended that 4-35 out of the sanctioned area was put in his possession and that it was not fair to recover full sutta till the remaining extent of land was also placed in his possession. That officer raises the question of the grant of one-half of the entire produce to Mulasthaneswara Temple at Tirunaripur instead of Swamanathapur Temple where worship had ceased on account of the mutilation of the Vigraha; and suggested that the Mojindar might be deputed to measure the lands accurately.

In their order of the 12th Septr 1906, Govt directed that out of 14½ Khandies (being one-half of the entire sutta) 10 Khandies of paddy be given to Mulasthaneswara Temple and the balance viz 7½ Khandies to Hanumanthesvara Temple at Bannur.

(Flag VI)

(6

Form C

Revenue File No. 370 of 1907

Serial No.

On 4th July 1906, the Dy Commr was requested to recover the Sulla for 1904-5 and 1905-6 and to depute a Mazindar for an accurate measurement of the lands and to submit a scale of expn in Sri Rama Devaru Temple at Bannur

Petitions subsequently received from Timmanaiah and B Subbaraya Setti of Bettiah — the former praying that the lands may be leased out to him on an annual Sulla of 25 Khandies and the latter praying for the cancellation of the lease — were also forwarded in Combn the Dy Commr has now submitted a consolidated report with records for orders of Govt

The former Amildar Mr D Rama Rao's English Reports dated 15th June 1908 and 14th August 1909 go fully into the facts of the case-

(Vide)

The Survey nos now forming

	a g	As As Ps	
1619/1,	0-8	1-8-0	Kunnumkatte land
1620,	3-29	25-4-0	are noted in the margin
1621/2,	0-10	1-12-0	
1627/2	0-2	0-4-0	
	APPD 4-2-09	28-12-0	

NOTE—Contn sheet

(7

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mmz (c) File No. 295 of 1907

Serial No.

B. Subba Rau, Bettiah's son and heir, who is said to have attained his majority recently, has petitioned the Local authorities on 12th March (V) pleading that the patta (Hag IV) agreed to by his father, is heavy and praying for a cancelment of the lease.

The Amildar reports "that Kunnurkatte being a garden, a permanent lease would seem the best, as a more limited interest would lack in incentives to permanent improvement". To ascertain the terms on which tenants were to be had, he invited bids generally for 5 yrs, 10 yrs and permanently the results of which are as shown below —

5 years — Khasnuniya Khandis. ~~Khandiga~~ — 30
10 years — channe Gowda - 32.
Permanent - Bole Gowda & Nudde Gowda - 30

He further states that Dwandar Rayachar who owns adjoining lands, has (X) petd the Taluk office offering & to pay a permanent patta of 35 khandis and to execute a (Hag VI)

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—5,000—27-1-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Nuwara File No. 390 of 1909

Serial No.

Kuttehalika in any form prescribed by Govt and recommends that his offer may be accepted, reserving the right to enhance the pulla after a period of 30 years if the pullader is found to be in the enjoyment of an "unearned" profit.

The arrears of pulla now due from the lands are 2½ khandies due from Kibba Rau who petd on 12th March 1908 for the years 1905-06 and 1907-8, and 11 khandies due from Bole Gowda and Kuddle Gowda holders of these lands for (Keelaka) 1908-09 (vide Aumildars report D/14.5.09) The Aumildar suggests that 2½ khans may be adjusted out of the sum of Rs 200/ deposited by Bettiah and 11 khandies from the cultivators of the lands.

Paper under disposal)

The D/y Comm^r approves of the above suggestions and solicits orders of Govt

Submitted for orders

BB
24.3.10

Uy mf/MD

GPB—10,000—27 1.09

Serial No.

Ranga Chari appears to be the owner of the channel adjoining the Kunnenkotta. He is the brother of Jaidar Srinivasa Raghavacharlu who was very much tried to obtain the lease of Kunnikotta.

We recommend that garden cooly huts are to be erected in the land and patta in paddy given. There is a question if temple land can be leased out permanently. Rao Bahadur Mr. Madiah when he was Dewan of Mysore does not appear to have liked the idea of permanent lease (Report No 209 7/0 12/6/1895).

(Flag A)

It does not appear to be desirable to introduce the subject of "unearned increment"

We learn to-day that the lease to Ranga Chari ought for twenty years or if the Second Committee should think so thirty years.

Submitted with Dec. Proceedings

27/3/1910

3171
29 3 10

I think the lease may be for 20 or 25 years. I do not also like the permanent leasing -

7/4/10

Joint Secretary

8 4/4/10

Dy. Secretary. This is to be long. Revise. A letter to be sent. Letter should be ready by 12/4/10

11.4.10 12/4/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPO—55,000—27-4-09

(10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mmz File No. 390 of 1909

Serial No.

Revised Dft submtd as per orders
BD
12.4.10 HL

Issue
8
12/4/1910

Rec. 7

2 To - The Dy Comm Meyoneside
No. 2509 D 14 4. 1910
States that Govt are not in favor of granting a permanent lease, and that if Rangachar is willing to take up the lands for a period of 25 years as agreed to by him, the lands may be leased to him.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

J 1215

1909 – 1910.

Acc 390-09-1

From M. Kandaraj Avl Esq B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Tuticorin District

To C.S. Balasundram Iyer Esq B.A.
Secy: to Govt
Jungle Branch

Dated 23rd Dec 1909

Despatched

Received

General No. 276

No.

Enclosure No 16 file

Submits report re Kumirkatti lands in T. Nadu - Tuticorin Taluk.

Ch. Archivist
note
7/12/09

No. 276
Enc. No. 16 file

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commr.

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore Camp 22nd Decr 1909.

To
C. S. Balasundram Iyer Esq., B.A.

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Mugrai Branch

Sir,

In submitting herewith the records relating to the gutta of the Kunnikatte lands in the T. Narsipur Taluk, I have the honor to state that the facts of the case are briefly explained in the Amildar's English Reports Nos 285 dated 27.6.08 and 11 dated 14.8.1909.

As recommended by the Sub Divisional officer the offer of Rangachar of Ukkalgere of a permanent gutta of 35 Kandies per annum may be accepted after obtaining a proper muchalika from him.

The

The Government might also reserve the right to enhance the gulla as recommended by the Amildar in his report No. 11/9 dated 14.8.1909

The arrears of Gulla viz 27 Kandies for 1905-1906 and 1907-08 may be ordered to be adjusted out of the sum of Rs 200/- deposited by Bhattias and 11 Kandies from Bole Gow and Guddde Gowda who have been in possession of the land

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servt

Asst Commissioner
for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Revenue Aff a. per cross

G.R.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No 23 of 1910-2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190

To

The Collector

Kaira

Dated of issue 14th April 1910

Signed by for A. E. Ogilvy

I am directed to acknowledge
receipt of your letter No
296 dated 23rd ultimo reporting
in the case of Kasam
Kalu Limdi, entered a Suit
to the Temples of Sri Rama
Kesava in Bombay and Sri
Ranchhodji Samaldas and Sri
Vithoba Temples in Ahmedabad
praying declared to state that
Govt are not in favour of
a Settlement made of Khara
Land, and to give effect of
Resolution of the Collectors
Meeting held on the 21st Feb

SUBJECT

Of these lands for a
period of 25 years on the
~~gotta~~ agreed To by them, they may be learned therein
Vernacular
by the records are hereunto
returned ~~for reference~~

I have &c.

(Cr's. order) 12/4/[illegible]
For Secretary
12/4/[illegible]

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—23-5-08